

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
Stephen Karotkin (*pro hac vice*)  
(stephen.karotkin@weil.com)  
Ray C. Schrock, P.C. (*pro hac vice*)  
(ray.schrock@weil.com)  
Jessica Liou (*pro hac vice*)  
(jessica.liou@weil.com)  
Matthew Goren (*pro hac vice*)  
(matthew.goren@weil.com)  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10153-0119  
Tel: 212 310 8000  
Fax: 212 310 8007

KELLER & BENVENUTTI LLP  
Tobias S. Keller (#151445)  
(tkeller@kellerbenvenutti.com)  
Jane Kim (#298192)  
(jkim@kellerbenvenutti.com)  
650 California Street, Suite 1900  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
Tel: 415 496 6723  
Fax: 650 636 9251

*Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**In re:**

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

**PG&E CORPORATION,**

Chapter 11

**- and -**

(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY,**

**Debtors.**

**MOTION TO FILE REDACTED  
DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF  
SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION  
PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 327(a) AND  
328(a) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2014(a) AND  
2016 FOR AUTHORITY TO RETAIN AND  
EMPLOY PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS  
LLP AS MANAGEMENT, TAX, AND  
ADVISORY CONSULTANTS TO THE  
DEBTORS *NUNC PRO TUNC* TO PETITION  
DATE**

- ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation  
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
☒ Affects both Debtors

*\* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,  
No. 19-30088 (DM).*

[No Hearing Requested]

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, “**PG&E**” or the “**Debtors**”) in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”), hereby submit this Motion (the “**Motion**”), pursuant to sections 105(a) and 107(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), Rule 9018 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”), Rule 1001-2(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the “**Bankruptcy Local Rules**”) and the *New District Wide Procedures for Electronically Filing Sealed and Redacted Documents* adopted by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California (the “**Local Procedures**”), for entry of an order (i) authorizing the Debtors to file, with certain limited redactions, the Supplemental MSA Contracts (as described below) related to the *Supplemental Application Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 327(a) and 328(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2014(a) and 2016 for Authority to Retain and Employ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Management, Tax, and Advisory Consultants to the Debtors Nunc Pro Tunc to Petition Date* (the “**Application**”),<sup>1</sup> filed contemporaneously herewith, and (ii) directing that the unredacted copies provided to the Court of the Supplemental MSA Contracts shall remain under seal and confidential and not be made available to anyone without the consent of the Debtors and the other parties to the Supplemental MSA Contracts or further order from the Court.

In support of the Motion, the Debtors submit the Declaration of Elizabeth Collier (the “**Collier Declaration**”), filed contemporaneously herewith. A proposed form of order granting the relief requested herein is being uploaded concurrently herewith in accordance with the Local Procedures (the “**Proposed Order**”).

---

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Motion shall have the meaning used in Application.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.), and Bankruptcy Local Rule 5011-1(a). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

### II. BACKGROUND

On January 29, 2019 (the “**Petition Date**”), the Debtors commenced with the Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in either of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors’ Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

On February 12, 2019, the United States Trustee (the “**U.S. Trustee**”) appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “**Creditors Committee**”). On February 15, 2019, the U.S. Trustee appointed an Official Committee of Tort Claimants (the “**Tort Claimants Committee**”) and, together with the Creditors Committee, the “**Committees**”).

Additional information regarding the circumstances leading to the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases and information regarding the Debtors’ businesses and capital structure is set forth in the *Amended Declaration of Jason P. Wells in Support of the First Day Motions and Related Relief* [Docket No. 263] (the “**Wells Declaration**”).

### III. THE MSA & ENGAGEMENT LETTERS

Pursuant to the engagement agreements annexed as exhibits to the Declaration of Daniel Bowman, (the “**Bowman Declaration**”), filed in support of the Application (the “**Supplemental MSA Contracts**”), PwC will continue to provide management, tax, and advisory services to the Debtors, to the extent expressly required by and as more particularly described in the applicable designated agreements. Certain of the descriptions of the various services to be provided by PwC to the Debtors under the Supplemental MSA Contracts include confidential and sensitive information relating to,

1 among other things, legal advice and litigation strategies that are protected by the attorney-client  
2 privilege and work product doctrine. Accordingly, the following Exhibits have been redacted on the  
3 bases set forth below:

4 **Exhibits 1-A, 1-B, and 1-C:**<sup>2</sup> **North Bay Services.** These Engagement Agreements  
5 describe work to be performed at the direction of counsel. The scope of work includes  
6 detail that reflects the considered advice and recommendations of counsel on corporate  
7 incident reporting processes, including operations and legal support activities, in  
8 preparation for and in connection with ongoing litigation. The redactions include  
9 information subject to the attorney–client privilege and attorney work product doctrine.

10 **Exhibits 1-D, 1-E, and 1-F:** **Camp Fire Services.** These Engagement Agreements  
11 describe work to be performed at the direction of counsel. The scope of work includes  
12 detail that reflects the considered advice and recommendations of counsel on corporate  
13 incident reporting processes, including operations and legal support activities, in  
14 preparation for and in connection with ongoing litigation. The redactions include  
15 information subject to the attorney–client privilege and attorney work product doctrine.

16 **Exhibits 1-H and 1-I:** **E-Discovery Services.** These Engagement Agreements describe  
17 work to be performed at the direction of counsel. The scope of work includes detail that  
18 reflects the considered advice and recommendations of counsel on forensic collection of  
19 data from electronic devices in preparation for and in connection with ongoing  
20 litigation. The redactions include information subject to the attorney–client privilege and  
21 attorney work product doctrine.

22 **Exhibit 1-M and 1-N:** **Legal Observation Support Services.** This Engagement  
23 Agreement describes work to be performed at the direction of counsel regarding PG&E’s  
24 engagement with the eDiscovery vendor supporting wildfire investigations and claims.  
25 The redactions include information subject to the attorney–client privilege and attorney  
26 work product doctrine.

#### 27 **IV. BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED**

28 Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code empowers the Court to “issue any order . . . that  
is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title.” 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). Section 107(b)  
further provides:

On request of a party in interest, the bankruptcy court *shall*, and on the bankruptcy  
court’s own motion, the bankruptcy court may—

(1) Protect any entity with respect to a trade secret or *confidential* research,  
development, or commercial information.

11 U.S.C. § 107(b) (emphasis added). Some courts have emphasized, parsing the language of 107(b)  
(namely, the word “shall”), that once the court determines that the information the moving party seeks

---

<sup>2</sup> Exhibit references are to exhibits to the Bowman Declaration.

1 to protect falls within one of the categories enumerated in 107(b), it “has no discretion to deny” the  
2 protection sought. *Video Software Dealers Ass’n v. Orion Pictures Corp.*, 21 F.3d 24, 27 (2d Cir. 1994).  
3 Also, section 107(b) expressly authorizes the court to grant protection, where warranted, to the  
4 confidential commercial information of “any entity.” 11. U.S.C. § 107(b).

5 The Bankruptcy Rules similarly authorize the Court to “make any order which justice  
6 requires (1) to protect the estate or any entity in respect of a trade secret or other confidential research,  
7 development, or commercial information.” Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9018. Courts have found that information  
8 protected by the attorney-client communications privilege or the attorney work product doctrine are  
9 subject to filing under seal, either on the basis that a lawyer’s work product is one type of “confidential  
10 research” that falls within the plain language of the protection of section 107 and Rule 9018, *see, e.g.*,  
11 *In re 50-Off Stores*, 213 B.R. 646, 655 (Bankr. W.D. Tex. 1997); or because “the public interest in  
12 preserving the attorney client privilege ordinarily outweighs the presumption of access to judicial  
13 documents.” *In re Fibermark, Inc.*, 330 B.R. 480, 494 (Bankr. D. Vt. 2005) (quotation omitted).

14 The Court in these Chapter 11 Cases has authorized the filing under seal of sensitive  
15 commercial information, including for contracts with PwC in its original retention application. *See* Dkt.  
16 No. 1919 (authorizing filing of redacted copies of certain agreements with PwC); *see also* Dkt. Nos. 286  
17 (authorizing filing under seal of DIP Financing Fee Letters) and 1241 (authorizing filing redacted  
18 contracts with Quanta Energy Services). Here, the information to be redacted is more than just  
19 commercially sensitive; it is confidential and subject to attorney–client privilege and the attorney work  
20 product doctrine. Correspondence, bills, ledgers, statements, and time records which reveal the motive  
21 of the client in seeking representation, litigation strategy, or the specific nature of the services provided,  
22 such as researching particular areas of law, may fall within the attorney–client privilege or the work  
23 product doctrine. *See, e.g., In re Chan*, 2018 Bankr. LEXIS 4120, \*2 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. Dec. 20, 2018)  
24 (citing *Clark v. American Commerce Nat’l Bank*, 974 F.2d 127, 129 (9th Cir. 1992)).

25 Finally, Local Procedures require that a request for seal be narrowly tailored to sealable  
26 materials. *New District Wide Procedures For Electronically Filing Sealed and Redacted Documents*.  
27 The Debtors and their professionals have undertaken to redact only material they believe to be protected  
28

1 by the attorney–client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine, and will follow the Court’s  
2 procedures to provide unredacted copies to the Court, filed under seal, so the Court may conduct its own  
3 review of the privileged materials *in camera*. See *In re Las Vegas Monorail Co.*, 458 B.R. 553, 559  
4 (Bankr. D. Nev. 2011) (privileged information redacted from professionals’ fee application could be  
5 filed under seal so bankruptcy court could uphold its duty to review fees).

6 Because the Supplemental MSA Contracts contain privileged and confidential  
7 information that is subject to attorney–client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine, the  
8 Debtors request that the filing of redacted copies be authorized by the Court.

9 **V. NOTICE**

10 Notice of this Motion will be provided to (i) the Office of the United States Trustee for  
11 Region 17 (Attn: Andrew R. Vara, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.); (ii) counsel to the Creditors  
12 Committee; (iii) counsel to Tort Claimants Committee; (iv) the Securities and Exchange Commission;  
13 (v) the Internal Revenue Service; (vi) the Office of the California Attorney General; (vii) the California  
14 Public Utilities Commission; (viii) the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; (ix) the Federal Energy  
15 Regulatory Commission; (x) the Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of  
16 California; (xi) counsel for the agent under the Debtors’ debtor in possession financing facility; (xii)  
17 PwC; and (xiii) those persons who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested  
18 service pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is  
19 required.

20 No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Debtors to this or  
21 any other court.

22 WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting the relief  
23 requested herein and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

Dated: November 7, 2019

**WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP**  
**KELLER & BENVENUTTI LLP**

/s/ Thomas B. Rupp

Thomas B. Rupp

*Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession*